UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/532,943 | 12/13/2005 Peter Fuchs | | 27656/40689 | 2667 |
| | 7590 04/04/200 GERSTEIN & BORUN | EXAMINER | | |
| 233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 | | | HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE | |
| SEARS TOWE CHICAGO, IL | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3781 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/04/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/532,943 | FUCHS, PETER | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | ROBIN HYLTON | 3781 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| | -· action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| <i>;</i> — | | secution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| ologod in addordance with the practice and c | x parte quayre, 1000 C.D. 11, 10 | 0.0.210. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Denova | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 December 2005</u> is/ar | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | - | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | | • • | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | , | | | | | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | | on No. | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | • • | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | • | a III iiilo I talional Glago | | | | | |
| | * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Coo the attached detailed emice detail for a list | or the continue copies her receive | u . | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| 2) | | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4-26-05</u> . | 6) Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the snap on means must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the snap on means comprising rims and noses as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior

Art Unit: 3781

version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains the phrase "invention provides". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

5. Claims 1-22 are objected to because of the following informalities: each claim is not written as a single complete sentence including an indefinite article at the beginning of each claim and claim 11 omits "a" before "cannula". Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3781

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no description for a "multi-fold symmetry" or the snap on means comprising "rims" and "noses".

- 7. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There is no description for a "multi-fold symmetry" or the snap on means comprising "rims" and "noses".
- 8. Claims 11 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The structure of the closure is not clearly set forth in the noted claims. What structure represents a "multi-fold symmetry"? What structure represents a snap on means as a "rim" and a "nose"?

Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v.*

Art Unit: 3781

HydReclaim Corp., 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The term "cannula" in claim 11 is used by the claim to mean "elongate", while the accepted meaning is "a needleless syringe." The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1-6,8,9,11-14, and 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bayer (US 4,688,703).

Socket **10** of closure **7** mates with mutli-fold symmetry **5** of twist away element **3**. A tube is a container (claim 22). The spout **6** is designed as a cannula to the degree set forth.

It is noted that claim 10, line 2 positively recites the container structure. The container structure was not previously set forth in claim 1.

11. Claims 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bayer.

Bayer discloses the claimed closure except for the first and second guiding surfaces being substantially spherical.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the first guiding surface and the second guiding surface substantially spherical since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Doing so allows for a spout having a uniform diameter along its entire length.

14. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bayer.

Bayer discloses the claimed closure except for mating threads.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the snap fit means with mating threads since the examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalence of snap on means and mating threads for their use in the art and the selection of any of these known equivalents to would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Providing mating threads allows for a more deliberate effort to remove of the cap from the spout.

15. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bayer in view of Butterbrodt (US 5,255,804).

Bayer discloses the claimed closure except for a first rim of a container engaging a second rim of the cap to seal the spout.

Butterbrodt teaches it is known to provide a container rim and a cap rim for mating engagement when the cap is held on the container.

Art Unit: 3781

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of the first rim and the second rim to provide a sealing engagement therebetween for sealing the spout when the cap is held on the container.

Conclusion

- 16. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.
- 17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 18. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720/80 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 19. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No. _____ is being facsimiled to The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the date shown below:

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate

| Signature | | |
|-----------|--|--|
| Date | | |

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick, can be reached on (571) 272-4561.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

/Robin A. Hylton/ Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3781